Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/876,915	WILHELM, ROBERT G.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregory M. Desire	2624	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_	
(1) <u>Gregory M. Desire</u> .	(3)		
(2) Applicant's representative.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 5 June 2009	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: NA			
Claims discussed: 1, 16, and 19			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Gregory M. Desire/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if	appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 09/876,915

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Regarding process claims 1, 16 and 20, examiner contacted applicant's representative to discuss an examiner's amendment to include a computer or processor. In view of bilski said claims would have to be rejected with 35 USC 101 rejection, the claims are not tied to a particular machine or apparatus, or the transform of a particular article to a different state or thing. Applicant did not want to amend the claims to include any system. The examiner decided to send out a non final rejection.